



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,733 11/20/2003		11/20/2003	Takayasu Ishida	P/1909-166	8714
2352	7590	07/17/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		BER GERB & SOFF	SINGH, RAMNANDAN P		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2614	
				DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,733	ISHIDA, TAKAYASU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramnandan Singh	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,5,6,8 and 11-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of th	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (i) Nov. 20, 2003; (ii) Feb. 06, 2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

1. The preliminary amendment filed on Nov. 20, 2003 is approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizuta et al [US 20030064758 A1].

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,733

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 1, Mizuta et al teach a mobile (i.e. portable) terminal, which is a foldable type mobile communication terminal in which an upper cabinet (200) and a lower cabinet (100) are connected with each other by a hinge [Para: 0099], as shown in Fig. 4A, comprising:

a magnet (204a) that is disposed in the upper cabinet (200) [Fig. 6] and changes the position in the upper cabinet corresponding to the change of the posture of the mobile terminal [Figs. 9A-9D];

two magnetic force detecting means (i.e. magnetic sensors 111a and 111b) that are disposed at designated different positions in the lower cabinet (100), and detect the magnetic force, which changes corresponding to the change of the position of the magnet, from the magnet [Fig. 6]; and

a controlling means (i.e. control unit 109) that judges the posture of the mobile terminal based on the detected magnetic force by the two magnetic force detecting means[Fig. 6; Para: 0094-0095].

Claim 7 is essentially similar to claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 3, Mizuta et al further teach the mobile terminal, wherein: the controlling means changes the displaying direction of letters and signs and images to be displayed on a displaying means that is disposed on the outer surface of the upper cabinet, corresponding to the judged result of the posture of the mobile terminal [Figs.

Art Unit: 2614

11A, 11B; Para: 0063; 0095; 0120-0126; 0141].

Claim 9 is essentially similar to claim 3 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 4, Mizuta et al further teach the mobile terminal, wherein: the magnet and the two magnetic force detecting means (i.e. open/close detector 113) are also used for detecting the opening or closing state of the upper cabinet [Fig. 6; Para: 0105; 0121; 0123; ;0125; 0131].

Claim 10 is essentially similar to claim 4 and is rejected for the reasons stated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2, 13-14, 5-6, 8, 15-16 and 11-12 are indicated allowable.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 2 identifies the uniquely distinct feature of a mobile terminal, which is a foldable type mobile communication terminal in which an upper cabinet and a lower cabinet are connected with each other by a hinge, comprising: a magnet that is disposed in a magnet disposing region having a hexahedron structure, whose surfaces are nearly parallel to the surfaces of the upper cabinet, in the upper cabinet, and

Application/Control Number: 10/717,733 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

allowable.

changes the position corresponding to the change of the posture of the mobile terminal by sliding diagonally in the hexahedron structure; two magnetic force detecting means that are disposed at designated different positions in the lower cabinet, and detect the magnetic force, which changes corresponding to the change of the position of the magnet, from the magnet that slid in the diagonal direction in the hexahedron structure; and a controlling means that judges the posture of the mobile terminal based on the detected magnetic force by the two magnetic force detecting means. As such, claim 2 requires a magnet disposing region having a hexahedron structure and changing a position corresponding to the change of posture of the mobile terminal by sliding diagonally in the hexahedron structure. No prior art teaches the limitations in the context of the claims. Therefore, claim 2 is indicated allowable.

Claims 5, 8 and 11 are essentailly similar to claim 2, and hence they are also indicated

Claims 13-14 being dependent from claim 2, claim 6 being dependent from claim 5, claims 15-16 being dependent from claim 8, and claim 12 being dependent from claim 11 are also imndicated allowable.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramnandan Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramnandan Singh Examiner Art Unit 2614